



CHILDREN'S SERVICE PERFORMANCE REPORT

GUILDFORD LOCAL COMMITTEE 9 December 2004

1. KEY ISSUE

This report sets out current performance and key issues for Surrey Children's Service (Social Care Teams), Early Years and Child Care, and Youth Justice.

2. SUMMARY

An overview of countywide service issues is provided (Appendix 1) as well as Borough/District specific information. (A separate report focussing on the Multi Professional Team's services for children with special education needs will be provided to the Local Committee on 3 March 2005.)

3. OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked to:

- (i) Note the performance of the Service countywide, by area and by Guildford borough.
- (ii) Provide comment and feedback on the operation of the Service and the content of the report.
- (iii) Consider opportunities for further familiarisation and engagement with the Service via visits to teams/establishments.

4. SERVICE CONTEXT – see Appendix 1

(For fuller information, Members are also referred to the Officer Report to the Surrey County Council Executive, 28 September, on progress with our "Medium Term Strategy – Children's Service").

5. GUILDFORD FOCUS

In addition to local service context and initiatives, key data and trends are highlighted, showing Borough data where available, in the context of area/county performance.

5.1 Teams/Staffing

Social work services for children in need of protection, looked after children, and children in need in the Guildford area are provided from:

Team	Base
Assessment Team	Guildford (AO3)
Children's Team	Ash (to move to AO3 in 2005)
Family Centre	Guildford
Children with Disabilities Team	Guildford (AO3)

Recruitment has been generally positive but turnover levels have been high in the Children's Team and Family Centre recently. The loss of experienced qualified social workers is a particular problem, because the new recruits tend to be recently qualified or overseas staff, who cannot be expected immediately to shoulder the caseloads required. This clearly puts extra demands on managers/supervisors, and impacts on workflow.

In the Children's Team we have recruited a number of Family Support Workers, four of whom are now training to become qualified social workers. The Assessment Team also has two trainees, and the Family Centre one. In the long-term, this local investment in trainees is expected to enable us to build the numbers of experienced, qualified workers.

5.2 Workload/Workflow

The quantity of work carried out by the service is measured and recorded in various ways: numbers of referrals, the percentage of contacts recorded as referrals, the percentage of initial assessments completed on time and the number of open cases.

5.2.1 Referral Rates

A count of referrals recorded March-June 2004 indicates that South West Surrey has logged fewer referrals than other areas (about 21% of the county total). This is consistent with service estimates of relative demand across the areas, but it should also be noted that South West appears to record relatively few contacts as referrals, indicating a high threshold for accepting referrals in South West.

Referrals Recorded March-June	
NE	715
NW	503
SE	523
SW	462

Percentage of Contacts Recorded as Referrals (June)	
NE	32%
NW	21%
SE	22%
SW	13%

5.2.2 Assessments

One of the key performance indicators for the service is the percentage of Initial Assessments completed within seven working days. In July, the South West performance was 60% (target is to achieve and sustain 65%).

This performance measure is updated monthly, and the South West has shown consistent improvement three months running. Looking at aggregate performance for May-July, South West is the highest performing area at 55%. The challenge clearly is to sustain this good progress.

5.2.3 Open Cases (Guildford)

The table below shows the number of open cases by Borough. The reducing numbers of cases in Guildford mirror a countywide trend, which is due to tighter case management and administration.

	30 th April 2003		31 st July 2003		30 th April 2004		31 st July 2004	
	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population
The number and rate per 1000 population of children with open cases as at the heading date								
Elmbridge	639	22.9	611	21.9	614	20.88	543	18.46
Epsom and Ewell	315	21.69	265	18.25	207	13.34	207	13.34
Guildford	643	24.22	662	24.94	588	21.00	561	20.04
Mole Valley	363	21.25	365	21.37	313	18.65	270	16.09
Reigate and Banstead	696	24.89	659	23.57	578	21.13	623	22.78
Runnymede	508	33.43	547	35.99	412	25.97	459	28.93
Spelthorne	464	24.45	564	29.72	430	23.11	459	24.67
Surrey Heath	325	17.58	336	18.18	261	12.90	274	13.55
Tandridge	268	14.82	294	16.26	274	14.53	276	14.63
Waverley	443	17.38	449	17.62	395	14.53	399	14.68
Woking	557	27.03	591	28.68	395	18.92	413	19.79
Not Recorded	482		521		307		299	
Outside Surrey	N/k		80		61		59	
Surrey Total	5703	24.7	5944	25.75	4835	20.26	4842	20.29
Sources:								
Data held on the SWIFT social care system and reported using Business Objects report CH_CAS_01 amended to group by borough/district								
Notes:								
(a) Rate per 1000 population calculated using the 2001 Census figures.								
(b) 'Not Recorded' includes cases with insufficient data to map to a borough/district and children with no main display address.								

5.3 Child Protection (Guildford)

	31st December 2002		30th June 2003		31st December 2003		30th June 2004	
	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population
The number of children on the Child Protection Register								
Elmbridge	58	2.0	76	2.6	71	2.4	50	1.7
Epsom and Ewell	28	1.8	29	1.9	15	1.0	15	1.0
Guildford	42	1.5	61	2.2	61	2.2	53	1.9
Mole Valley	21	1.3	29	1.7	30	1.8	10	0.6
Reigate and Banstead	51	1.9	46	1.7	48	1.8	33	1.2
Runnymede	12	0.8	39	2.5	43	2.7	28	1.8
Spelthorne	47	2.5	46	2.5	41	2.2	53	2.8
Surrey Heath	17	0.8	19	0.9	17	0.8	19	0.9
Tandridge	25	1.3	17	0.9	27	1.4	22	1.2
Waverley	26	1.0	23	0.8	23	0.8	23	0.8
Woking	33	1.6	37	1.8	45	2.2	51	2.4
Not Recorded								
Outside Surrey								
Surrey Total	360	1.5	422	1.8	421	1.8	357	1.5
Sources:								
Manual records								
Notes:								
(a) Rate per 1000 population calculated using the Sept 2001 Census figures based on 2000 census for children up to 18 years old.								

The relatively high rate per 1000 children in Guildford has remained stable. This is against the context of a general increase in numbers across Surrey in 2003, which was followed by a reduction in numbers during December – June 2004. It is suggested that this was a response to the Climbie Enquiry/Laming Report, which saw a temporary increase in defensive practice.

All Guildford Child Protection cases are allocated to a qualified social worker, and reviewed within required timescales.

5.4 Looked After Children (LAC)

	30th June 2002		30th June 2003		30th June 2004	
	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population	Number	per 1000 population
The number and rate per 1000 population of children looked after during the year to the heading date						
Elmbridge	60	2.15	79	2.83	115	3.79
Epsom and Ewell	41	2.82	44	3.03	52	3.26
Guildford	105	3.96	108	4.07	122	4.07
Mole Valley	51	2.99	57	3.34	56	3.02
Reigate and Banstead	133	4.76	141	5.04	144	4.84
Runnymede	90	5.92	64	4.21	61	3.51
Spelthorne	50	2.64	55	2.90	68	3.29
Surrey Heath	32	1.73	30	1.62	43	2.15
Tandridge	52	2.88	58	3.21	58	2.97
Waverley	51	2.00	53	2.08	62	2.20
Woking	89	4.32	92	4.46	87	3.90
Not Recorded	64		66		20	
Outside Surrey	24		28		27	
Total Workload	842	3.65	875	3.79	915	3.62
Sources:						
Data held on the SWIFT social care system and reported using Business Objects report CH_LAC1 amended to group by borough/district						
Notes:						
(a) Rate per 1000 population calculated using the 2001 Census information.						
(b) 'Not Recorded' includes cases with insufficient data to map to a borough/district and children with no main display address identified.						

LAC numbers for Guildford are up from 105 in June 2002 to 122 in June 2004, but this is due to more accurate recording. Thus the number of “not recorded” cases countywide (64 in June 2002) has been reduced by ascribing them to the correct borough (reducing this figure to 20 in June 2004). In addition, the current trend in numbers for South West area is down to 159 at the end of September 2004. This is due to tight gate keeping, increased investment in community-based care packages, and improved integrated working with multi-professional teams to support education packages for children at risk of becoming looked after.

5.4.1 LAC Placement Type (Guildford Children)

The number of children placed in non-Surrey placements has decreased countywide and locally, although the cost of such placements remains a significant budget pressure. The placement profile for Guildford children as at 30th June 2004 is as follows:

Count of Swift ID	
LAS Accommodation	Total
Adopters	9
Agency Foster Carer In Surrey	1
Agency Foster Carer Out Surrey	17
Children's Home In Surrey	5
Children's Home Outside Surrey	1
Foster Carer In Surrey	38
Foster Carer Out Surrey	13
In Surrey With Relatives	8
Independent Living No Formal Support	1
NHS or Other Nursing Establishment	1
Placed with Parents Care Order	10
Residential Care Home	1
Residential School	8
RESPIRE: Children's Home In Surrey	7
RESPIRE: NHS or Other Nursing Establishment	1
Out Surrey with Relatives/Friends	1
Grand Total	122

5.4.2 Location of Placements (Guildford Children)

Location of Placements	No	%
All	122	100
In Guildford	36	30
In Surrey	27	22
Outside Surrey	59	48

5.4.3 Educational Attainment of LAC (Guildford)

The numbers of children from Guildford in any one academic year are too small to be statistically significant. However, the following gives a qualitative impression by describing the context and outcome for those Guildford looked after children who were eligible for GCSE (or equivalent) in 2004:

	Placement	Attainments
Child 1	Foster Carer in Surrey	7 GCSEs (English C, Maths C, Double Science D, English Lit. B, French E, PE C, RE E)
Child 2	Foster Carer in Surrey	Has Learning Disabilities – did not sit GCSEs
Child 3	Foster Carer in Surrey	2 GCSEs (English D, Maths D)
Child 4	Res. Care Home	Learning Disabled – did not sit GCSEs
Child 5	Foster Carer outside Surrey	Has Special Educational Needs. Did not sit GCSEs

Child 6	Care Order – placed with parents	Excluded 2003, attendance at PRU erratic, did not respond to home tuition service, became pregnant, baby born August 2004. Did not sit GCSEs
Child 7	Foster Carer outside Surrey	8 GCSEs (English D, Maths D, Double Science E, English Lit. C, Perf. Arts C, Geography C, Music C, Food Tech. C
Child 8	Foster Carer in Surrey	English D, Maths D, Art C
Child 9	Foster Carer in Surrey	Maths D, ASDAN Silver Challenge, Maths Pass, English Pass, National Skills Profile IT 3 rd Grade, AQA Literacy Level 2, AQA Numeracy Level 3, OCR Communication Level 3

5.5 Foster Carer Recruitment (Guildford)

As mentioned elsewhere in this report, recruitment has been successful across Surrey, and the numbers of children placed with Surrey foster carers has increased. As a rule, it is desirable to place children locally when they become looked after. The fostering service has indicated that there are just 28 Surrey foster homes in Guildford. In order for us to be able to make appropriate local placements, we do need to see this number increase.

5.6 Early Years and Childcare

See Appendix 2 for the detailed borough statistics for pre-school, out of school and early education provision.

5.6.1 General Trends in Childcare and Early Education

Issues in the development of childcare places continue to include lack of suitable premises; low salaries resulting in difficulties recruiting staff and ensuring that provision has sufficient income to cover costs (i.e. is financially sustainable).

The Early Years and Childcare Service has restructured to ensure greater knowledge of local opportunities and issues. Support and advice will be focused for existing and potential providers to encourage development in areas of greatest need or demand.

A number of schools have expressed an interest in developing childcare as part of the extended schools programme, which may assist parents who continue to desire services very near their home or work.

The development of integrated childcare and early education (educare) places will support parents who work or train; this will enhance parental choice.

The strategic development of childcare continues to be reliant on potential providers being receptive to the advice offered.

All four year olds and most three year olds are now eligible to a funded early education place. Despite a known over capacity of places across the county, a

research programme is planned to determine if parents are able to access their entitlement locally. Work continues to ensure a diversity of provision is available to enable parental choice.

5.6.2 Workforce Development

Issues of low pay continue to inhibit the development and expansion of the workforce. An extensive training programme is available locally to equip the workforce with the skills to deliver quality childcare and education. Professional development is encouraged via a range of training bursaries and supply cover funding to release staff for training. Support and advice is available on recruitment and retention issues and a business management training programme is being developed to promote the retention of staff and the financial viability of providers.

5.6.3 Guildford Position (see Appendix 2)

1. The statistics show that Guildford is among the best provided boroughs in Surrey for pre-school places per 100 children (45).
2. Guildford has a relatively low number of places in out-of-school childcare settings (11 per 100 children aged 5-14 years).
3. Guildford has an average percentage (89%) of early education places taken as % of children aged 3 and 4 years.

The Early Years and Childcare Service is supporting the development of early education and childcare places in the following parts of the borough:

- Weyfield School is being helped with a sustainability grant to replace their current three-year funding from the New Opportunities Fund when it runs out.
- The childcare attached to the Pirbright Primary School site has had a change of ownership and they are being supported with the completion of their Ofsted application.
- The University of Surrey has engaged a consultant architect to draw up plans for a full day care facility on its site.
- GBC's Spectrum Leisure Centre is being supported with its Ofsted registration for the new crèche it wants to set up.

5.6.4 Guildford Children's Centre

The Guildford Children's Centre was developed out of the Nursery Schools in Guildford and moved to the Bellfield's site in December 2003. It received capital investment from the DfES Early Excellence programme and Surrey County Council to create the high quality learning and community environment on both sites. The Centre has been approved by the DfES SureStart Unit to move towards Children Centre designation. All that is required to meet this is for the Centre to open its full daycare service for under 5's and it will be advertising for staff very shortly. The Centre is already beginning to make an

impact on the Bellfield's estate with its expanded Nursery School, drop-in facility, play and learn sessions, links with health visitors, community café and other programmes run in conjunction with local partners. The Town Centre site continues to run its Nursery School and play and learn sessions, though there is less scope for other community support projects because of the limitations of the building.

The North Guildford site's children's park and play area has been designed to an exceptionally high standard and will benefit many children and families in addition to those using the Centre on a regular basis. The Head of Centre, with the support of the Early Years and Childcare Service, is planning how it can be used as a teaching resource for early years settings across Surrey and also to stage national and regional conferences.

The Centre is about to take delivery of a specially designed bus to take out to traveller sites in the surrounding area. The equipment on the bus will provide educational facilities for traveller children and health visitors will accompany staff to provide health advice and to administer immunisation for children with parental agreement.

6. LOCAL INITIATIVES

6.1 Local Members Visit, 15 July

Two Members, Tom Sharp and Mary Laker joined Andrew Crisp, SCC Executive Member for Children & Young People, in a visit to the area (team visits, including visits to Pupil Referral Unit and Family Centre). This was very well received. We would be pleased to arrange a further visit.

6.2 Inter-Agency Referral Project

Following a successful 'pilot' in North West Surrey, this area is now in the process of implementing an improved protocol for multi-agency working with children in need. The focus of this is to achieve an improved understanding about thresholds for referral, about agency roles, and an improved referral (when necessary) to the social care teams. This initiative is intended to significantly enhance Surrey's multi-agency practice under the Assessment Framework guidance. The intended benefits will be greater understanding between agencies, improved referrals for assessment/intervention under the Children Act and a quicker response to children in need and their families.

6.3 Community Safety/Community Development

It must be emphasised that Children's Services remains primarily a casework service, and there is very limited capacity for community development work. Nevertheless, we are keen to explore opportunities to take forward our 'preventive' agenda, i.e. working with other agencies on projects designed to avoid children needing to access higher level Tier 3/4 services such as formal child protection procedures, or becoming 'looked after'. The following initiatives are noted in this context:-

6.3.1 Home School Link Workers Project

A number of schools in South West have already appointed Home School Link Workers (HSLWs); in Guildford these posts have been established at St Francis Westborough (funded by the Self Reliance Programme), Weyfield (funded by the Healthy Living Programme) and Guildford Grove (funded by the school's own fundraising efforts). Surrey Children's Service has set up a Steering Group to develop this role as an important strand of our local preventive strategy.

6.3.2 Essentially HSLWs are based in one or more schools to work preventatively with families, children and the school to provide early intervention, signposting, support and guidance for children and families who are at risk of social/educational exclusion. We intend to identify a small number of targeted schools with whom to develop this model, and the headteachers of six primary schools in Guildford are among a group of heads who have been invited to a workshop in November to take this forward in partnership. (This model is based on a scheme established in some 15 schools in and around Oxford, evaluation of which has been positive – there is a high level of local interest in further developing this role).

6.3.3 Community Incident Action Group/Domestic Violence

Our commitment to the community safety agenda in Guildford is demonstrated by our involvement in the above multi-agency fora. In addition the Team Manager of our Children's Team, has recently been elected as Chairman of the newly formed Guildford and Waverley Domestic Violence Forum.

6.3.4 Engagement with Local Partnership/Development Projects

We have been able to work with colleagues across a number of local projects, and have service involvement/representation on steering groups for:

- Guildford YMCA Nightstop Project
- Guildford Action for Families
- Park Barn Community Work Project
- Housing Project for 16/17 year olds (Guildford and Waverley Boroughs with Stonham Housing Association).

6.3.5 Engagement with Guildford Voluntary Grants Panel

This panel administers the allocation of a joint budget funded by Guildford and Waverley PCT, Guildford Borough Council, Adults and Community Care Services and Surrey Children's Services (SCS). SCS have recently joined the Panel and we are contributing funds from a limited Area Development budget. This has enabled us to ensure support for key voluntary services for children and families, and that is an important strand for our emerging preventive strategy.

6.3.6 Youth Justice – see Appendix 3.

The Safer Guildford Partnership is unique in Surrey in having senior Youth Offending Team (YOT) representation on the Executive. In addition, the

partnership benefits from the active involvement of operational staff as regular participants in both the Community Incident Action Group and Joint Action Group. In addition to the normal range of YOT services and community sentences, effective joint work is being undertaken with a number of young people in the borough who are giving cause for concern around anti social behaviour. The contribution of the YOT is to undertake risk assessments and where feasible to divert young people from anti social behaviour at an earlier stage thus reducing the need for the borough to pursue often costly ASBO applications through the courts.

Report by: Simon Slater, Area Manager
South West Surrey, Surrey Children's Service

LEAD/CONTACT OFFICER: Simon Slater, Area Manager - South West Surrey, Surrey Children's Service

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 01483 517709

BACKGROUND PAPERS: None

SERVICE CONTEXT – Countywide Service Issues

A.1 National Developments

- Surrey County Council decided, three years ago, to integrate Children's Services. With the Children Bill, this will become a statutory requirement, and local authorities will be required to have a lead Member and a single Director of Children's Services.
- There is significant activity in hand to progress government requirements to have local inter-agency information-sharing protocols, and to progress towards electronic files by December 2005. A model system, the Integrated Children's System, will form the framework for this.
- Surrey was awarded two stars in the Annual Review process in November 2003, and this was also the assessment of the Commission for Social Care Inspection (CSCI) in November 2004; the Children's Service Plan addresses areas for development, which are progressing as at A.2 below:

A.2 Surrey "headlines"

These are set out under the six key themes of the Children's Service's Medium Term Strategy as follows:

A.2.1 Prevention

- Developing preventive working in partnership – the Surrey Children & Young People's Partnership is now mirrored at area level by multi agency partnership groups. During June/July, 19 workshops have been held, attended by 650 people, to engage with a wide range of stakeholders including governors, teachers, children's service staff, the voluntary sector, health, police and parents/carers. There was strong support for cross service preventive working, improved access, integration of multi professional teams, schools being central to service delivery for children, and more focussed support for parents.
- Funding for prevention – Area Managers now have funding and authority to spend on preventive services, subject to agreed criteria. The challenge is to shift funding from high cost placements to ensure the sustainability of a funding base for preventive services.
- Kinship Care and Family Group Conferences – new procedures and funding arrangements are in place to support in-family care of children who might otherwise become formally "looked after" children.
- Expansion of the Community and Placement Support Team – an additional 7 staff have been appointed, the service now covers the whole county and their age range has extended to 12-17 year olds, focussing on two objectives:

- The prevention of 12-17 years olds entering the Looked After System.
 - The return home within 12 weeks of any 12 – 17 year olds who become Looked After.
- More children with disability have been supported in their homes or local community.
 - Increased access to Early Years Provision

A.2.2 Integration and Inclusion

- Boundary changes to align our social care teams with the four areas of the CYP Directorate were completed in April 2004. As part of this move, the two (East and West) Children with Disabilities Teams were split into four teams and are now integrated within the Multi Professional Team.
- Multi Professional Teams now hold regular Care Planning meetings with schools.
- The pilot of the Integrated Assessment and Referral System has been completed successfully in North West Surrey, and this is being rolled out in the other three areas including South West Surrey over the next year.
- A “Corporate Parent Steering Group” has been set up, chaired by Andrew Crisp, and will co-ordinate and raise the profile of Looked After Children to ensure that agencies work together to maintain these children in mainstream provision, and improve outcomes.

A.2.3 Engagement

A variety of activities have included:

- Annual barbeque for children, young people and foster carers, (including a questionnaire regarding the notion of an Awards Ceremony).
- Survey of Adopters.
- Survey of foster-carers regarding out of hours support needs.
- Involvement of children and young people in appointments.
- User Survey on Assessment Services.
- 20 young people (11-19 years) consulted on development of local preventive strategies.

A.2.4 Recruitment, Retention and Skill Mix

- Since the beginning of 2004 a targeted campaign for social worker recruitment has been running permanently in the national and professional press and via the SCC web-site. This has had a

ITEM 18 APPENDIX 1

significant impact and we have reduced our vacancies dramatically. A significant proportion of new recruits are from overseas, and they have a bespoke induction, training and support group. The results for Assessment and Children's Teams are as follows:-

Team	Vacancy Levels	
	January 2004	July 2004
Assessment	37.7% (20.7 wte)	12.4% (6.8 wte)
Children's	32.4% (21.4 wte)	9.1% (6.0 wte)
<i>Overall</i>	34.8% (42.1 wte)	10.5% (12.8 wte)

- We are running (October – December 2004) a development programme for experienced Senior Social Workers aspiring to become managers.
- Trainees and Social Work Degree Course. Following fresh emphasis on in-service training, and the development of a new social work degree course with Reading University, we now have 36 staff undertaking in-service professional social work training.

A.2.5 Getting more from our funding

- The key strategy is to reduce the number of independent sector care placements in order to shift resources into community based and preventive provision. The number of (non-disabled) children in such placements has reduced from 142 (October 03) to 116 (June 04).
- Apart from tight gate-keeping and care planning, a vital component of the strategy has been to strengthen our in-house fostering resource, and the figures demonstrate an outstandingly successful recruitment strategy that has exceeded the set target. By April 2004, 89 new households had been recruited (target 50). The target for new recruits (April 04 – March 05) is 100 foster carers, and in the first quarter 33 new foster homes have been recruited providing an additional 49 places.
- The third key strategy to reduce pressure on placement services/budgets has been to reduce the overall number of looked after children. Whilst numbers have actually increased overall by 40 from June 2003, to June 2004, the current trend is a downward trend. The position stabilised from January 2004, and in the quarter to June there were 12 more leavers than entrants. Our target is to reduce overall numbers by 50 by March 2005.

A.2.6 Communication and Leadership

- Termly staff conferences have been held in each area.
- Monthly (Area) and Quarterly (Service) Performance Meetings have become established. At the Annual Review Meeting in August 2004, the Commission for Social Care Inspection remarked upon positive progress and commended the "step change" which had been achieved in performance management by Surrey Children's Services, since confirmed at the 2-star level for the second year running.

- By the end of September 2004, 93 teams will have taken part in the corporate Impact 3 Programme.
- Leadership and Learning Programme for middle managers. 83 managers are involved and the second module of the programme on performance management will be completed in September. An evaluation of the learning and its transfer to the workplace is currently being conducted and the results will be available later in the year.

EARLY YEARS AND CHILDCARE STATISTICS (BOROUGH/DISTRICT)

Pre-School

Pre-school childcare settings and places in private, voluntary, and independent sectors at 31 March 2004:

	No. of settings providing pre-school childcare	No. of pre-school childcare places in settings	No. registered child-minders	No. of child-minding places	Total no. pre-school childcare places	Total no. children aged 0 -4 years	Places per 100 children aged 0 - 4 years 31 March 2004
Elmbridge	62	2485	204	478	2963	8037	37
Epsom and Ewell	36	1218	161	376	1594	3965	40
Guildford	75	2728	177	448	3176	7069	45
Mole Valley	52	1486	135	321	1807	4580	39
Reigate and Banstead	66	2130	266	622	2752	7778	35
Runnymede	33	1297	130	320	1617	4277	38
Spelthorne	41	755	166	403	1158	5179	22
Surrey Heath	50	1713	174	419	2132	4929	43
Tandridge	52	1733	174	403	2136	4769	45
Waverley	79	2679	156	363	3042	6587	46
Woking	45	1540	167	399	1939	5679	34
SURREY TOTAL	591	19764	1910	4552	24316	62849	39
Bor./Dist Average	54	1797	174	414	2211	5714	39

Sources: Full day care and sessional settings figures are supplied by OfSTED, or if unavailable from OfSTED supplied by the provider to Surrey Early Years and Childcare and Surrey Children's Information Service.

Figures for childminding (CM) places are provided by OfSTED. Figures are for the number of children under the age of 5 that each childminder is registered for.

Figures for Independent Schools are based on the number of part-time equivalent places funded by the Nursery Education Grant in the spring term of 200

Demographic data is based on the 2001 Census, and taken from the Office for National Statistics website: www.statistics.gov.uk.

Out of School

Out of school childcare settings and places in private, voluntary and independent sectors at 31 March 2004:

	No. settings providing out of school childcare	No. of out of school childcare places in settings	No. registered child-minders	No. out of school child-minding places	Total no. out of school childcare places	No. children aged 5 - 14 years	Places per 100 children aged 5 - 14 years
Elmbridge	34	1496	204	400	1896	14404	13
Epsom and Ewell	12	531	161	309	840	7271	12
Guildford	25	1077	177	352	1429	13530	11
Mole Valley	24	992	135	257	1249	8855	14
Reigate and Banstead	14	682	266	541	1223	14369	9
Runnymede	19	1102	130	248	1350	7908	17
Spelthorne	22	1039	166	343	1382	9881	14
Surrey Heath	24	1102	174	352	1454	9511	15
Tandridge	19	716	174	267	983	9295	11
Waverley	29	1523	156	260	1783	12729	14
Woking	31	1311	167	255	1566	10618	15
SURREY TOTAL	253	11571	1910	3584	15155	118371	13
Bor./Dist. AVERAGE	23	1052	174	326	1378	10761	13

Sources: Figures for Before and After School groups and Holiday Play Schemes are provided by OfSTED where settings are registered and by the provider if exempt from registration.

Figures for childminding places are provided by OfSTED. Figures are for the number of children aged 5 - 8 that each childminder is registered for

Demographic data is based on the 2001 Census, and taken from the Office for National Statistics website: www.statistics.gov.uk .

Early Education

Early Education Places: Summer term 2004:

	Places Taken				Total places taken as % of children aged 3 and 4 years
	NEG*	LEA**	Total	No. of children aged 3 and 4 years	
Elmbridge	1717	980	2697	3031	89%
Epsom and Ewell	794	704	1498	1539	97%
Guildford	1503	975	2478	2795	89%
Mole Valley	1090	498	1588	1729	92%
Reigate & Banstead	1419	911	2330	3059	76%
Runnymede	782	574	1356	1647	82%
Spelthorne	859	788	1647	1981	83%
Surrey Heath	1054	605	1659	1837	90%
Tandridge	1086	659	1745	1808	97%
Waverley	1657	733	2390	2540	94%
Woking	930	741	1671	2198	76%
Surrey Total	12891	8208	21099	24164	87%
Bor./Dist. Average	1172	743	1914	2197	88%

Notes:

Places Taken: For Nursery Education Grant (NEG), figures represent part-time equivalent places (5 2.5hr sessions per week for 33 weeks of the year) in the private, voluntary and independent sector. Local Education Authority places taken represent the number of places taken in maintained nursery classes, nursery school and reception classes by 3 and 4 years old children. These figures are based on 2004 Summer term data.

Population figures are based on 2001 Census data for children aged under 1 year and 1 year.

YOUTH JUSTICE STATISTICAL REPORT FOR GUILDFORD LOCAL COMMITTEE

Data for the past two years is provided for comparison purposes. There is an increase countywide of around 10% in total of youth offences brought to justice, undoubtedly this is attributable to Surrey Police's commitment to the Surrey Criminal Justice Board's Narrowing the Justice Gap objective. Guildford has recorded a 7.6% increase in youth crime detections.

Offence by Borough Data 1.7.02 to 30.6.03

Category Desc	Elmbridge	Epsom & Ewell	Guildford	Mole Valley	Reigate & Banstead	Runnymede	Spelthorne	Surrey Heath	Tandridge	Waverley	Woking	Grand Total
Arson	2		2	1	1			1	2		1	10
Breach of Bail							1	1		1		3
Breach of Conditional Discharge	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2		1		14
Breach of Statutory Order	4	1	19	7	23	1	6	1	2	8	13	85
Criminal Damage (excluding Arson)	14	13	27	8	23	16	24	31	6	22	22	206
Domestic Burglary	4	6	16	1	6	1	5			1	4	44
Drugs	12	9	25	14	27	10	16	8	10	11	27	169
Fraud and Forgery	6			5	5	1	8		1	1	3	30
Motoring Offences	46	51	123	41	69	25	157	32	11	38	113	706
Non Domestic Burglary	1	1	6	2	5	5	1			4	4	29
Other	5	1	17	15	21	5	13	11	1	9	6	104
Public Order	8	5	23	11	13	8	12	20		21	18	139
Racially Aggravated		1			1	2	3	1				8
Robbery	22	7	3	2	12	1	6			2	2	57
Sexual Offences	1		5	1	1			1			1	10
Theft and Handling Stolen Goods	23	18	51	15	59	38	39	15	14	42	40	354
Vehicle Theft and Unauthorised Taking	7	4	19		16	4	15	3		10	9	87
Violence Against the Person	24	15	57	21	28	29	30	27	14	21	34	300
Grand Total	180	134	394	146	312	147	338	154	61	192	297	2355

Offence by Borough Data 1.7.03 to 30.6.04

Category Desc	Not Known	Out County	Elmbridge	Epsom & Ewell	Guildford	Mole Valley	Reigate & Banstead	Runnymede	Spelthorne	Surrey Heath	Tandridge	Waverley	Woking	Grand Total
Arson		1		6	3		2	4					2	18
Breach of Bail	1	3			2			2		4		2	1	15
Breach Of Conditional Discharge		1			3	2	5	2	2				1	16
Breach of Statutory Order	4	13	6	11	21	7	16	7	17	7	3	6	10	128
Criminal Damage		2	12		18	3	12	11	3	10	2	5	2	80
Criminal Damage (excluding Arson)		10	21	19	27	37	34	8	12	25	4	8	22	227
Domestic Burglary		4	2	1	5	3	14	2	2	3		4	5	45
Drugs	1		13	5	16	6	23	3	10	8	4	9	4	102
Fraud and Forgery	1				2		1	1	3		1	1		10
Motoring Offences	2	17	74	28	142	28	85	29	122	62	8	38	41	676
Non Domestic Burglary	1		3	3	5		5	1	2	2	1	1	4	28
Other		6		9	15	4	26	3	3	15		3	3	87
Public Order		7	19	14	26	6	36	19	13	25	2	10	20	197
Racially Aggravated	1	1	1	1		8	5	2	7				5	31
Robbery	1	2	5		4	3	2	1	4			2		24
Sexual Offences		2		3	4	4				3			3	19
Theft and Handling Stolen Goods	3	13	28	38	61	18	75	45	29	22	14	21	48	415
Vehicle Theft / Unauthorised Taking				2	7	2	6	2	1	2		1	1	24
Vehicle Theft and Unauthorised Taking	1	4	3	6	4	4	19	5	8	4	1	3	6	68
Violence Against the Person	6	18	30	23	59	16	56	27	39	43	8	20	33	378
Grand Total	22	104	217	169	424	151	422	174	277	235	48	134	211	2588

Youth Justice Service

Narrative:

The Surrey Youth Offending Team continues as a high performing YOT, occupying a top twenty position in the national performance table (out of 154 YOTs nationally). This evidences consistent target beating performance against 11 of the 13 performance measures defined by the Youth Justice Board. Most recent performance for second quarter of 2004 is summarised below:

ITEM 18 APPENDIX 3

1	Ensure all areas have in place effective arrangements that ensure children and young people most at risk of offending are targeted by mainstream services	Two Junior Youth Inclusion and Support Programmes are now in place aimed at supporting children a primary/secondary transition phase
2	Reduce re-offending rates by 5% based on 2000 sample compared to 2001 sample after 24 months (annual data)	Pre-court 8% increase First tier penalties 2 % increase Community penalties 14.5% decrease Custody 4% increase
3	Ensure that the proportion of Final Warnings supported by interventions remains constant at 80%	83%
4	Reduce the use of the secure estate to 30% for remands and 6% following sentence	Remands 23% Sentence 3.1%
5	Ensure that 75% of victims are offered the opportunity to participate in restorative processes	85%
6	Ensure that 10% of young people with final warnings supported by intervention and community based penalties receive a parenting intervention	10%
7	Ensure that ASSET is completed for 95% of young people subject to community and custodial sentences	97.8%
8	Pre-sentence reports completed 10 days for persistent young offenders (90%) 15 days for all other reports (90%)	98% (10 & 15 day reports combined)
9	DTO training plans: 100% of plans drawn up within 10 days of sentence	100%
10	Ensure 90% of young offenders are in full time education, training or employment	67%
11	Ensure 100% of young offenders have satisfactory accommodation	95%
12	Young offenders with mental health difficulties: 100% Acute cases seen within 5 days 100% non acute seen within 15 days	No acute cases 96%
13	Ensure that all young people are screened for substance misuse and those with needs are assessed within 5 days and access treatment within 10 days	100% need assessment are seen within 5 days

POPULATION FIGURES

Mid Year Estimates from ONS

2002 Mid Year Estimates: Unrounded

	Age					
	0	1-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	0-19
Elmbridge	1479	6526	8250	7645	6479	30379
Epsom & Ewell	766	3169	4015	4128	3874	15952
Guildford	1375	5615	7290	7486	8173	29939
Mole Valley	789	3570	4833	5109	4242	18543
Reigate & Banstead	1378	6190	7736	7926	6539	29769
Runnymede	756	3324	4426	4190	4672	17368
Spelthorne	982	4075	5471	5407	4757	20692
Surrey Heath	909	3915	5254	5260	4640	19978
Tandridge	891	3783	4907	5492	4472	19545
Waverley	1249	5214	6836	7635	7298	28232
Woking	1023	4510	5923	5760	5088	22304
Surrey	11597	49891	64941	66038	60234	252701

1. It is ONS policy to publish population estimates rounded to at least the nearest hundred persons. Estimates are sometimes provided in units to facilitate further calculations but the cannot be guaranteed to be exact as the level of detail implied by unit figures.
2. Source : Population Estimates Unit, ONS : Crown Copyright 2003.